

NAVY review(s) completed.

NAVY HAS NO OBJECTION TO DECLASSIFICATION AND RELEASE.

BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Per: H-1116/r.h.
JUN 1 1955

Dear General Cabell:

I refer to your letter of 3 March 1955 and its enclosed list of members of Volunteer Naval Reserve Composite Company W-14, who are now available for Navy mobilization assignments, and thereby eligible for active duty for training in the Navy, subject to eligibility of individuals under established criteria.

The information contained in the list enclosed with your letter is most helpful. However, it is noted that the names of a chaplain (designator 4105) and two Chief Warrant Officers (designators 7646 and 8176) were included as members of Composite Company W-14. The Central Intelligence Agency complement of officers does not include officers so designated. Accordingly, it is requested that their names be deleted from the list, in order to bring it into conformity with the Central Intelligence Agency complement.

With a view toward stability in our mobilization planning and to permit justification of expenditures of reserve training funds, it is expected that the names of members of Composite Company W-14 who are ordered to active duty for training with pay, will remain on our mobilization list for not less than one year, subsequent to the completion of such duty.

In further reference to the information listed in the enclosures to your letter, it will be necessary as stated in Assistant Secretary Pratt's letter of 10 February 1955, to indicate the specific mobilization billet and reporting date for each reserve officer on our mobilization list.

Considering the over-all number of officers to be provided by the Navy to the Central Intelligence Agency within the 30 days following the commencement of mobilization, it is apparent that Composite Company W-14 would be the source of only a small increment of the total. As to the considerable number of other appropriately qualified officers required by the Central Intelligence Agency upon mobilization, there is no information available in the Bureau of Naval Personnel upon which to base selection and assignment. It is, therefore, requested that explicit Naval Officer Billet Classification Codes and appropriate descriptive billet titles be furnished for each billet in your complement from which we may develop a realistic and practicable Authorized Allowance/Complement Form for the Central

the Agency. In the event of mobilization, the assignment of primarily qualified officers to billets in the Agency would be directly dependent upon the availability of the above information. Further, it is submitted that if additional naval reserve officers in your employ were made available to the Navy for mobilization assignment to the Central Intelligence Agency, your naval officer personnel requirements could be more readily fulfilled by reason of the reduced number of cases in which delay, incident to security clearances, would be involved.

Your interest and cooperation in Naval Reserve matters are greatly appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

s/ G. L. Holloway

Lt. General C.P. Cabell, USAF
Deputy Director
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington 25, D.C.